City of Nashua: Taking of Pennichuck Water Works, Inc.

## DW 04-048

Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. and Pennichuck Corporation's Supplemental Responses to the City of Nashua's Third Set of Data Requests

Date of Request: January 27, 2006

Data Request No.: Nashua 3-11

Date of Supplemental Response: March 31, 2006

Witness: John Guastella/Donald Correll

REQUEST:

Please provide any document prepared by or on behalf of Pennichuck or any of its subsidiaries or agents that support your conclusion that if PWW's assets were taken by eminent domain significant economies of scale would be lost.

## SUPPLEMENTAL

RESPONSE:

The impact of the lost economies of scale are reflected in the attached analysis, which shows that, in the absence of PWW, the following would occur:

- a. PAC's revenue requirement absent its relationship with PWW would be approximately 66% above its 2005 revenue requirement and approximately 120% above its 2005 actual revenues.
- b. PEU's revenue requirement absent its relationship with PWW would be approximately 64% above its 2005 revenue requirement and approximately 101% above its 2005 actual revenues.
- c. PWSC's annual net income, based on its current contracts in place, would change from an annual profit of approximately \$151,000 to an annual loss of approximately \$265,000.

Under the current corporate structure, PWW owns and maintains all common use assets and employs all personnel (other than two employees of The Southwood Corporation) and allocates facility and personnel costs to its affiliated companies. In order to project the cost of service of PEU, PAC and PWSC in the absence of PWW, analyses were made to measure the impacts of the revised personnel levels, the impacts on the purchasing discounts due to reduced volume ordering of materials and supplies, the impacts of the revised levels of customers, the impacts of the investment and depreciation of the required plant asset replacements, and the impacts on capital costs of the restructured corporate entity. To develop comparative cost results, the projected common use utility asset costs and utility personnel costs were allocated to PEU, PAC, PWSC and Southwood in a manner consistent with the cost allocation methodology of PWW on file with the PUC. The revised

Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. DW 04-048 Supplemental Response to Nashua 3-11 Page2 of 2

cost of service results provided hypothetical revenue requirements for each company, and the rate increases that would be necessary without PWW as part of the combined operation.

Based on the foregoing, the substantial adverse economic impacts shown on the attached schedules reflect the projected cost to each entity and/or its customers of having to acquire replacement assets or conduct their businesses on a less efficient basis. In the case of PWSC, assuming it could continue its operations while experiencing substantial economic losses until expiration of its existing operating contracts, its future existence would be dependent on whether the market in which it operates would allow it to impose significant cost increases on its customers when those contracts were renewed. Given the highly competitive nature of that market, PWSC's ability to increase its revenues sufficiently to continue to operate successfully is highly doubtful.

## Pennichtuck East Utilities Statement of Operations - At Projected Revenue Requirement

	2005 Per Book		2005 with Appropriate Revenue Levels		2005 Without PWW Affillation	
Operating Revenues	\$	3,811,486.94	\$	4,665,033.05	\$	7,657,092.69
O&M Expense:					•	
Water Supply-Production Expenses		1,076,218,43		1,076,218.43		1,266,497.39
Distribution-T&D Expenses		348,708.21		348,708.21		528,303.90
Engineering Expenses		60,200.00		60,200.00		167,024.31
Acctig & Collectig w/o Meter Reads		55,338 <i>.</i> 11		55,338.11		66,586.03
Admin & General Expenses		768,961.93		788,961.93		1,928,222.95
CorpDiv Mgmt Fees (A&G)		194,000,00		194,000.00		430,918.33
Total O&M Expense		2,503,426.68		2,503,426.68		4,387,552.89
Depreciation Expense		552,404,94		552,404,94		913,502.61
Amortization of CIAC		(87,881_97)		(87,881.97)		(85,095,89)
Amortization Expense		44.955.12		44,955.12		44,955,12
Leasehold Amortization Exp.		8,500.00		8,500.00		35,382.72
Misc. Operating Gains		(13,716.98)		(13,716.98)		(13,716,98)
Taxes, Other than Income Tax		333,100.60		333,100.60		341,424,82
Income Tax		25,743.00		331,623.74		612,396.35
Total Operating Expenses	\$	3,366,531.39	\$	3,872,412.13	\$	6,236,401.64
Net Operating Income	\$	444,955.55	\$	992,620.91	\$	1,420,691.04
Rate Base	\$	11,889,160.99	\$	11,889,160.99	\$	15,611,509.93
Rate of Return		3.74%		8,35%		9.10%
Revenue Increase Required Revenue Percentage Increase Percentage over Booked Revenue			\$	853,546.11 22.39%	\$	2,992,059.64 64.14% 100.80%

## Pittsfield Aqueduct Company Statement of Operations - At Projected Revenue Requirement

	2005 Per Book		2005 with Appropriate Revenue Levels		2005 Without PWW Affiliation	
Operating Revenues	\$	469,439.24	\$	622,083,29	\$	1,031,958.38
O&M Expense:						
Water Supply-Production Expenses		68,539.00		68,539,00		97,554.16
Distribution-T&D Expenses		60,105,46		60,105,46		85,621,63
Engineering Expenses		7,800.00		7,800.00		21,641.02
Acctig & Collectig w/o Meter Reads		9,581.80		9,581.80		18,709.05
Admin & General Expenses		126,928.91		126,928.91		275,808.89
CorpDiv Mgmt Fees (A&G)		27,000.00		27,000.00		59,992.18
Total O&M Expense		299,955.17		299,955.17		559,326.94
Depreciation Expense		85,463,31		85,463,31		125,461,44
Amortization of CIAC		(23,888.24)		(23.888.24)		(23,888,24)
Amortization Expense		17.827.50		17.827.50		17,827,50
Leasehold Amortization Exp.		1,000.00		1,000.00		4,165.31
Misc. Operating Gains		•		•		
Taxes, Other than Income Tax		28,500.63		28,600,63		29,600.05
In∞me Tax		2,075.00		58,504.58		100,585,63
Total Operating Expenses	\$	. 410,933.37	ŧ	467,362.95	\$	813,078.64
Net Operating Income	\$	58,505.87	\$	154,720.34	\$	218,877.74
Rate Base	\$	1,783,677.74	\$	1,783,677.74	\$	2,341,568.16
Rate of Return		3.28%		8.67%		9.35%
Revenue Increase Required Revenue Percentage Increase Percentage over Booked Revenue			\$	152,644.05 32.52%	\$	409,873.09 65.89% 119.83%

PWSCO-P&L

200 1

PWSCO
Profit and Loss Statement

Profit and Loss Statement			With PWW			Without P	···
•		Account	VIII F TVV	Functional			
	12/31/2005	Reclassification	12/31/2005	Reclassification	12/31/2006	Adjustments	12/31/2005
Hudson Revenue	449,733,30		449,733.30		449,733,30		449,733.30
Hudson Unplanned Revenue	213,313,21		213,313,21		213,313.21		213,313.21
NCWS Revenue	137.508.97		137,506.97		137,508.97		137,508.97
NCWS Unplanned Revenue	203.322.71		203.322.71		203,322.71		203,322.71
Saffabury Revenue	957,210.26		357,210,26		357,210.26		857,210.26
Salisbury Unplanned Revenue	282,297,37		282,297,37		282,297.37		262,297.37
Water Tight Sales	239,845,31		239.848.31		239,848.31		239,848.31
Backflow Sales	128,680,00		128,680,00		128,680,00		128,680.00
Lab Income	5,378,00		5,376,00		5.376.00		5,376.00
Other Income	24,887.12		24,587,12		24,887.12		24,867.12
Sawer income	8,691,68		8,091,68		8,691.68		8,691,68
Total Operating Revenues	2,060,868,93		2,050,868.93		2,050,868.93	-	2,050,888,93
(04.4pmang)	-,,						
Professional Services	38,167,54		38,167.54		86,167,54		38,167.64
Lab Expense	125,00		126.00		125.00		125.00
Uncollectible Accts	1,759.85		1,759.96		1,759.86		1,759.86
A&G Expense	256,519.62		256,519.62	168,500,00	425,019.62	315,428.17	740,447.79
CorpDiv Mgmt Fees (A&G)	56,000.00		00.000,88		66,000.00	68,407.48	124,407.48
PWW Inter-Div Mgmt Fees	237,000.00	(15,100.00)	218,900.00	(218,900.00)			*
Total Operating Expenses	559,572.02		571,472.02		521,072.02	363,835.65	904,907.67
Maintenance - Hudson	175,164.54		175,164.54		175,164,54		
Unplanned Maint - Hudson	181,466.85		181,466.85		181,466,85		
Maintenance - Salisbury	296,820,83		298,920,93		296,920,93		
Unplanned Maint - Salisbury	223,110.54		223,110,54		223,110.54		
Maintenance - NCWS	79.453.61		79.453.81		79,453,61		
Unplaned Maint - NCWS	143.974.57		143,974.67		143,974.67		
Backflow Teeting (PWW.PEU.PAC)	51,869,76		51,869,76		51,869,76		
Water Tight Renewal & Claims	46,967.29		46,967,29		46.967.29		
Water Tight & Misc Expenses	21,909,99		21,909.99		21,909,99		
Total Maintenance Expenses	1,222,838.18	-	1,222,836.16	50,400.00	1,273,238.18	128,980.81	1,402,218.99
Total O&M Expense	1,812,410.20		1,794,310.20		1,794,310,20	512,816.45	2,307,128.65
Secretary Francis	200 50	16,100.00	47.000.50		4- 4 74	(5,355.29)	10,711.24
Depreciation Expense CIAC Amortization	966.53	16,100.00	17,066.53		17,066.53	(6,530.28)	10,711,24
		4 000 00	5.500.40			45 400 54	24 070 00
Amortization Expense	4,568.48	4,900.00	9,568.48		9,568.46	15,402.51	24,970.99
Gain on Property	-		•		•	•	•
Gain From Forgiveness SRF Debt	•	10.000.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(5000.00)	(9,076,33)	(11,976.33)
Rent-HECOP Fitup Allow Taxes Other	•	(2,900,00)	(2,900.00)		(2,900.00)	1,884.73	1,884,73
Income Taxes	99,012.00		99,012.00		99,012.00	(99,012.00)	1,004,74
RECORING LANGE	99,012.00	-	99,012.00	•	89,012.00	(88,012.00)	
Total Operating Expenses	1,917,057.21		1,917,057.21		1,917,057.21	415,680.07	2,332,717.28
Net Operating Income	133,811.72		133,811.72		133,811.72		(281,848,35)
Other Income (Loss)	-		-		-		•
Bond Interest Exp	-		-		-		
Interest on Cust Deposits	-				-		
Amort of Debt Expense					-		•
Interco. Interest Exp.	-						•
Interest income	17,143.00	<u>-</u>	17,143.00	-	17,143.00	•	17,143.00
Net Income	150,954.72	<u>.</u>	150,954.72	_	150,954.72	_	(264,705.35)